Title of paper:	Land and Planning Policies Development Plan		
	Document – Additional Sites		
Director(s)/	Sue Flack	Wards affected:	
Corporate Director(s):	Director of Planning and Transport	Aspley	
	David Bishop	Bilborough	
	Corporate Director of Development	Leen Valley	
Report author(s) and	Paul Tansey, Senior Planning Officer		
contact details:	Ph: 0115 8763973 email: paul.tansey@nottinghamcity.gov.uk		
Other colleagues who	Sarah Watson, Senior Planning Officer		
have provided input:	Ph: 0115 8763974 email: <u>sarah.watson@nottinghamcity.gov.uk</u>		

### **Relevant Council Plan Strategic Priority:**

World Class Nottingham	
Work in Nottingham	
Safer Nottingham	
Neighbourhood Nottingham	X
Family Nottingham	
Healthy Nottingham	
Leading Nottingham	

#### Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users):

Once adopted, the Land and Planning Policies Development Plan Document will provide the planning policy framework against which future planning applications are considered. The document will sit underneath the Aligned Core Strategy and will set out development allocations, as well as detailed development management policies.

An 'Issues and Options' consultation was recently undertaken, and this asked how we could change our current Local Plan (adopted in 2005). As part of this process, people were invited to submit additional development sites over 0.5 hectares that they considered suitable for allocation within the next Local Plan.

As such, consultation on the additional sites put forward through the Issues and Options will take place between 5 March 2012 and 30 April 2012.

The consultation document can be found at <u>www.mynottingham.gov.uk/localplan</u>.

#### Recommendation(s):

**1** That the current consultation on the Additional Sites and the opportunity to input into the process is noted.

# 1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 Between 26<sup>th</sup> September 2011 and 21<sup>st</sup> November 2011, the LAPP DPD 'Issues and Options document underwent public consultation. A full analysis of this consultation has yet to be undertaken and, when it is complete, the results will be fed back to the Area Committee as appropriate.
- 1.2 As part of the consultation, people were invited to submit additional development sites over 0.5 hectares that had not previously been consulted upon. Whilst the majority of additional sites were put forward by external consultees, some have been put forward by City Council colleagues.
- 1.3 6 development site over 0.5 hectares have been put forward within this Area Committee. Table 1 provides details of these sites, as do the plans appended to this paper.

# Table 1: Development Sites

Name and Reference	Ward
DS87 - Broxtowe Country Park – small part of, in the	Aspley
northern corner for residential and commercial	
DS88 – New Aspley Gardens (Option 1) – call for	Aspley
sites for residential, a foodstore and community uses	
DS89 – New Aspley Gardens (Option 2) – call for	Aspley
sites for residential and retail	
DS90 – Beechdale Baths and Ambulance HQ - for a	Leen Valley
foodstore	
DS91 – Land adjacent to Bobbers Mill – call for sites	Leen Valley
for residential and retail	
DS92 – Former PZ Cussons – call for sites for	Leen Valley
residential	

- 1.4 It should be noted that other additional sites were put forward in this Area Committee, however these failed to meet the 0.5 hectare threshold, were not new sites, or did not propose a change of use / development. Where this has occurred in relation to potential housing sites, consultees will be sent a letter stating that their site will be included in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA). Several people proposed the retention of land as open space where this designation already existed and there was no proposal to change it. These people have also been sent a letter explaining why the site has not been included within the consultation.
- 1.5 Consultation on the additional sites will is running for 8 weeks (due to Easter holidays) and will end on 30<sup>th</sup> April 2012.

#### 2. <u>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF</u> <u>CONSULTATION)</u>

2.1 Production of a Development Plan is a statutory requirement.

# 3. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 There are no alternative options, the production of a Development Plan is a statutory requirement.

# 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY)

4.1 No direct financial implications from the report.

### 5. <u>RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS, CRIME</u> <u>AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY</u> <u>IMPLICATIONS)</u>

5.1 The consultation process is required by Regulation 25 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations, as amended.

### 6. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENTS (EIAs)

Has an Equality Impact Assessment been carried out?

6.1 No – an equality impact assessment will be undertaken at a later stage in the process.

# 7. <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR</u> <u>THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION</u>

7.1 The Land and Planning Policies Additional Sites (2012)

# 8. PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

8.1 The Land and Planning Policies Issues and Options (2011)